

Corrupt courts

The only way a member may be expelled from American Mensa is by being convicted in an internal court of “acts inimical to Mensa.” This is that provision:

American Mensa Bylaws - Article IX (5):

*A member may be suspended from specific activities, offices, positions or functions, for a specified time, or suspended from membership for a specified time, or expelled from membership, for **acts inimical to the society**. No member shall be suspended or expelled from American Mensa, Ltd. except following a **fair and impartial** hearing by the Hearings Committee at which the member shall have the right to present his/her case. A decision by the Hearings Committee to suspend or expel a member from American Mensa, Ltd., shall require the concurrence of the American Mensa Committee.*

In the history of American Mensa, numerous members have been expelled. Yet most all of them have had nothing to do with actually causing harm to Mensa, as is mandated above. Rather, virtually every member expelled from Mensa has been because they ran afoul of the Powers That Be, and in particular a man named Dave Remine, the self-proclaimed “Godfather of Mensa.”

The Levine case

While there are numerous examples of abusive “due process” in American Mensa, nothing exemplifies that abuse quite like the Levine case, where a 30-year, totally innocent member was railroaded out of Mensa after being

subjected to not just one, but in fact *two* of their thoroughly corrupt, kangaroo courts.

Mensa in Georgia (Atlanta Mensa) is a huge chapter with over a thousand members. In the early 2000s a particularly arrogant local Board came into power. In short order that board was in conflict with a member who was questioning its financial improprieties. Those board members turned to their powerful friends at National and managed to get that member thrown out of Mensa. Rather than face a hearing on trumped up charges, the member resigned. Nevertheless he was tried and convicted, in absentia, and ordered expelled, just in case he should ever try to rejoin. Dave Remine, former Chairman of both American and International Mensa, was instrumental in that activity.

On a roll from this vindictive action, and confident they had the support of those at National, that local board thoroughly overstepped its bounds when, without warning and for no valid reason, they simply canceled over half of that giant chapter's social events, wiping out more than a dozen events each month. They did not cancel any of their own personal events, only those of other members, which rendered their own personally-hosted events the only game in town. When members got in an uproar over this, rather than simply correct their folly, they instead greatly compounded it by trying to justify their dubious action, by creating a formal Mensa document, listing all the hosts of those cancelled events, and declaring that every single one of them "*have brought disrepute to Mensa.*" That entire Board then signed their names to that official proclamation and mailed that document to members through the U.S. mail. Those officer signatories were: Stephen N. Mills, Marcia Brandes, Roger Grabman, Mark Collins, Katie Riddle and Bertha "Bertie" Clarke.

One of those cited hosts was a man named Barry Levine, a well-regarded Atlanta Mensa member who, for over twenty years, had hosted many popular events and who, by all accounts, had never once been in conflict

with anybody. Mr. Levine did not appreciate being so formally declared as having disgraced Mensa and so he demanded either substantiation or retraction of that ugly dictate. That board could not do the former yet refused to do the latter. The local mediator called a formal meeting where every one of those board members reluctantly agreed to issue Levine a retraction. They then refused to deliver. That mediator claimed he had no authority to enforce their agreement. (It would later come out, at two different internal American Mensa hearings, that those Atlanta officers refused to retract because *“it might be viewed as a sign of weakness.”*)

Levine felt strongly that no member should tolerate such a malicious, and totally baseless, formal proclamation by Mensa, and so he demanded that agreed-upon retraction, and nothing more. He engaged the then National Ombudsman, Eldon Romney, who assured Levine that he, Eldon, would get that already agreed-upon retraction, or else he would exercise his power to “force publish” in appropriate Mensa publications. Instead, at the behest of then AML Chairman Jean Becker, Mr. Romney strung Levine along for nearly a year, failed to make any serious attempt to get that retraction, then refused to force publish as promised.



[Eldon Romney](#)

That National Ombudsman reneging on his agreement set in motion a series of events that led to an ever expanding conspiracy to obstruct justice that, amazingly, ultimately required the complicity of the past seven Chairmen of American Mensa (Dave Remine, Bob Beatty, Jean Becker, Russ Bakke, Elissa Rudolph, Dan Burg, Deb Stone), as well as the past six Chairmen of International Mensa (Dave Remine, Chris Leek, Jim Werdell,

Willem Bouwens, Elissa Rudolph, and currently Bibiana Balanyi), aided and abetted by nearly every other high-ranking officer of Mensa. Note that both lists begin with a man named Dave Remine, well-known as Mensa's resident bully, as, indeed, it was Mr. Remine's extensive power and intimidation and, above all, his arrogance that sucked all the rest of those officers into his black hole of corruption.

Things escalated, as Levine, in a proper and by-the-book fashion, kept demanding that agreed upon retraction for what he considered libel. That local board dug in their heels, complained to their friends at National, which took the position that Levine was the problem and needed to be removed from the Atlanta chapter. Except there was no valid way to do so.

In steps Dave Remine, former American Mensa Chairman and former International Mensa Chairman, and, by all accounts, the most powerful and vindictive officer in the history of American Mensa. Mr. Remine simply appointed himself Chairman of the Hearings Committee (even though it was not yet his turn) and urged that local board to proffer charges against Levine. When that local board was unable to come up with anything that made any sense, Remine simply wrote the charges against Levine himself. These are Dave Remine's two charges against Mr. Levine:

1 – That he harmed Mensa by making recruitment and retention of members, volunteers, and officers difficult by his harassment of any who disagreed with him.

2 – That he harmed Mensa in that his behavior resulted in members either lapsing their membership or withdrawing from local involvement such as hosting or attending events.

Thus, in 2005, Dave Remine and two of his cohorts, former AML Chairman Bob Beatty and former AMC member Sallie Banko, were the “Hearings Committee,” the judges and jury, that came to Atlanta and tried and convicted Levine on those, Remine’s own charges. That Mensa court (Hearings Committee) refused to ever specify Mr. Levine’s “behavior,” refused to specify who he supposedly “harassed” or how he supposedly “harassed” them, and, despite Mr. Remine’s liberal use of the conjunction “or,” refused to identify a single member who “lapsed,” or who “withdrew from local involvement,” or any other “harm” to Mensa. – And they adamantly refused to sign that Mensa conviction, despite the clear mandate that they do so.

They simply took the position that a mere member holding a local board accountable was tantamount to “harassment” and harmful “behavior.” Indeed, if you hold malfeasant officers accountable, in a proper and by the book fashion, then *you*, not *they*, are committing “acts inimical to Mensa.” That court, of course, dare not actually state that, so they just left it ambiguous, to *imply* legitimate harm by Levine where none existed. And that, obviously, is libelous. – Yet Remine’s court convicted Levine on those trumped-up charges, and, despite the fact that there is no provision for such, they threw him out of his local group, Mensa in Georgia (Atlanta Mensa), which was clearly the intent all along. This solved “the Atlanta problem.” And all it cost was the integrity of Mensa, as they had to make total sham of Mensa due process to accomplish this, which only exacerbated things much further.

Levine demanded to know who, specifically, he supposedly “harassed,” and what was the matter with his “behavior.” Remine refused to answer, instead threatened him with more charges of acts inimical: *“The HC [Hearings Committee] is the end of the road. There is no escalating it further. And to fail to cooperate with the HC can be an act inimical itself. We are not allowed to disclose publicly the reasons behind our decision. There is no appeal to the AMC.”*

Remine further writes: *“You know damn well who you harassed and what damage you did to the local group and just what you were found guilty of. No amount of game playing on your part is ever going to change that. However, knowing you as I do you will eventually cross the line and reap the results of your never ending shit. – Dave”*



Dave Remine

It would not be long until Levine would indeed “reap Remine’s results,” via a malicious orchestrated scheme that was elegantly sleazy even by Mensa standards.

Levine was adamant that when Mensa finds need to convict a member for his/her “behavior” and “harassment,” that Mensa had better be willing and able to *specify* that behavior and harassment. Yet Mensa would not because Mensa could not. And such insult Levine would not tolerate.

Barry Levine has long maintained that all this fell in his lap, that he did nothing improper to bring any of it about, and that this is much more than just personal, as it has to do with fundamental justice, and equitable treatment, and basic right and wrong within Mensa. In short, that corrupt due process was simply unacceptable in the world-renowned Mensa society. And thus he (as he believes would any member so treated) felt a *fundamental obligation to the entire membership*, indeed, to the integrity of Mensa, to challenge such blatant abuse of authority.

Having now been so formally and falsely declared by Mensa as having done harm to that organization, Levine appealed to the AMC/Board of Directors, detailing the numerous breaches of Mensa's own laws and rules and mandates throughout that hearing, and how it was anything but the required "fair and impartial" as set forth in the above bylaw. The then AMC Chairman Russ Bakke (another Remine protégé, and central player in all this) declared they could do nothing because they have no authority over the Hearings Committee and that, besides, there was insufficient "groundswell" on AMC to do anything about it.

Levine refused to roll over, instead forced the issue, made noise, letting it be known that Mensa courts were thoroughly corrupt. Those at National, Remine in particular, were infuriated, needed to silence him and thus needed him gone for good. Indeed, Levine earned a "top tier" spot on Remine's infamous "Enemies List," which Mr. Remine actually published throughout the world.

Remine tells Levine: "*I should have gotten rid of you when I had the chance.*" -- And so he needed another "chance." And when you are Dave Remine, you simply make it happen. Here is how he did it:

In early 2007, at the behest of Mr. Remine, AML created the new law that makes freedom of speech an expulsionable crime in American Mensa. This is that law, that you will note the AMC didn't even comprehend, yet they passed it without a single dissenting vote:

AMC Minutes, Jan 2007:

Moved BEATTY, seconded BECKER that ASIE 1998-017 [acts inimical to Mensa] be amended to include:

3. Threatening, intimidating, coercing, calumniating or otherwise interfering with persons involved in the authorized activities of Mensa including volunteers, appointees, or paid staff members:

Discussion: BECKER said the chair of the Hearings Committee [Dave Remine] felt strongly that the word calumny needed to be included. KINDER said she is concerned with the wording on this. The word calumniating will not be understood by many of our members and that she had to look it up in a dictionary herself to see what it meant. There should be a less obscure word that gets the point across. LEDERMAN read the definition of calumny. BECKER said only a small percentage of charges are actually heard. We need to trust the judgment of the hearings committee.

APPROVED: 17 – 0 – 3 (MCBEAN, KINDER, RECHT abstained)

Remember that last line, from Jean Becker: “*We need to trust the judgment of the hearings committee.*” It speaks volumes.

Soon thereafter Dave Remine charged Levine with “calumny,” his own newly created law. He appointed his cohort, the above Jean Becker, to head the Hearings Committee, even though he had no authority to do so. The other two judges on that Hearings Committee were two more of Remine’s sycophants, former International Chairman Jim Werdell and the then current Communications Officer, Marc Lederman. All three were formally challenged by Levine for having extensive conflict of interest. They themselves ruled Levine’s challenges unwarranted. Thus those three, Remine cronies all, were Mr. Levine’s judges and jury.

Levine wisely recorded the proceedings. Listening to those recordings of that Mensa hearing, one might think it took place in Salem, Massachusetts, as it seems the only thing missing from that kangaroo court were kangaroos. Becker let Remine run the show while she tried to stifle Levine at every turn. She refused to even state the charge, refused to even utter let alone define that ridiculous word that she and Remine themselves created. She then plays dumb as though she doesn’t even know what the charges are (Jean Becker is superb at playing dumb.) Yet Levine persisted, and reluctantly, they ultimately did define that absurd charge of calumny, or more specifically they *redefined* it, as all three of these Mensa “judges,” on behalf of every member of American Mensa, formally redefined the word “calumniating” such that falsehood is “*not necessary.*” ([audio 1](#))

Thus, Mensa created a new expulsionary law with a word that to all the world is synonymous with slander/libel/defamation, then simply changed its definition to mean unflattering yet truthful criticism. Then, while he is being tried for what he supposedly wrote/said, that Mensa court declares that Levine's "*precise words*" were not important, but rather what *is* important is the "*spirit and totality of the charges,*" and that Levine should not "*quibble over individual words.*" ([audio 2](#)) -- And then there is Remine himself, declaring that "calumny" is *in fact* synonymous with libel and slander, requiring falsehood. Indeed, it seems Mr. Remine knows everything about "acts inimical" except how to pronounce it. ([audio 3](#))

When Levine first saw that AMC created that new "calumny" law, he knew it might as well have had his name on it. When he soon thereafter got notice of Remine's charges against him, with Becker as his hanging judge, he well knew he would be expelled from Mensa. So he took that opportunity to file his own charges of "acts inimical" against both Remine (for an obvious myriad of reasons) as well as against Becker (for extensively lying to the membership at the Annual Business Meetings to aid Mr. Remine.) And, of course, those charges had to be filed with these very people, this very Hearings Committee. And they thus simply found Levine's charges to be "unwarranted." Also, months prior to this hearing, Levine had formally *challenged* the legality of all three of these officers, Remine cronies all, to sit in judgement of him. They themselves found his *challenges* "unwarranted."

Here you get to hear both Jean Becker and Jim Werdell, the then seated Chairman of International Mensa no less, the leader of the smartest people in the world, playing dumb. – Indeed, there is nobody better at playing stupid than officers of Mensa. – They *both* here simply substitute the word "challenges" for "charges" to deny they even knew of Levine's charges against both Remine and Becker, despite the fact that *they themselves, in writing, had thrown out those charges*, a copy of which Levine had *just placed in front of each of them!* Indeed, failing to silence a persistent Levine, and on the ropes, these highest-ranking officers of Mensa, loathe to have to account for themselves, manage to: (a) falsely answer critical

questions as they “need” to answer those questions, (b) flagrantly ignore bedrock rules and mandates, (c) flat-out lie through their teeth at this Mensa hearing, which itself is a fundamental “act inimical to Mensa,” (d) make total mockery of Mensa justice and due process. And all within three minutes and twenty-one-seconds. ([audio 4](#))

Levine was, of course, convicted of “*committing calumny against Dave Remine*” and ordered expelled from Mensa. This was 2008.



Jean Becker, Jim Werdell

As per that above Bylaw, an expulsion order “*requires the concurrence of the American Mensa Committee.*” Levine detailed to that governing body how that hearing was corrupt from start to finish, documenting dozens of breaches of Mensa’s own laws and rules. It made no difference, as, in secret session, that governing body did indeed “concur” with that expulsion order and Levine was expelled from Mensa. This is that official proclamation:

AMC minutes -- July 2008

63. Moved BECKER, seconded POIRIER, SHEEHAN: The AMC is recessed in open session and convened in closed session to consider the National Hearings Committee’s recommendations to expel Mr. Barry Levine from American Mensa.

The Hearings Committee has found Mr. Levine guilty of the following charges:

That Barry Levine has committed calumny against Dave Remine.

Upon returning to open session, BAKKE announced the following.

The AMC passed the following motion in Executive Session: The American Mensa Committee concurs with the findings and recommendations of the Hearings Committee on the matter of Mr. Levine. **Barry Levine is expelled from American Mensa.** ASIE 2008-087

(Secretary's note: Vote counts are not recorded in the minutes for votes taken in closed session.)

Thus, legitimate criticism – free speech – is now the highest of crimes in American Mensa. If you declare a cheat a cheat, and that cheat happens to be Dave Remine, then you can expect to be thrown out of Mensa. It is that simple.

Indeed, Mensa has created and enforced a *law of sedition*.

Despite its massive arrogance, however, even the Mensa society does not have the right to alter definitions of well-established words. Thus, in declaring Levine guilty of calumny, Mensa formally proclaims Mr. Levine to be a malicious liar. Indeed, it seems he is the only person in history that Mensa has ever officially declared to be a liar. Yet, amazingly, not a single officer in all of Mensa – not Dave Remine, not Jean Becker, not Dan Burg, not Elissa Rudolph, not Jim Werdell – all of whom are former AML and/or International Mensa Chairmen, and responsible parties all, yet not one of them can specify a single thing Mr. Levine has ever been less than truthful about.

But they needed Levine gone, and they succeeded. Levine had been a regular at the Annual Gatherings and so immediately after his expulsion some rank-and-file members mentioned they would simply invite him as their guest to future AGs. In response Remine got yet another Mensa law passed that specifically forbids an expelled member from ever setting foot in any Mensa function anywhere, even as a guest. Because Dave Remine is just that kind of a guy.

Soon after all this, as the record shows, a member of AMC, presumably with some sort of conscience, floated the idea that maybe they should drop that inane word

“calumny” from the list of “acts inimical to Mensa.” To which another, presumably more powerful officer declared: “*We can’t do that. We already expelled a member for it. How would that make us look?*” – How indeed. – And thus the we-can-define-it-any-way-we-want-as-needed crime of “calumny” is Mensa law to this very day. Indeed, it is used as a threat to any member who dares speak out against the Powers That Be.

Appeal to International Mensa

Levine would not tolerate being so formally declared a malicious liar by Mensa, without cause. He considered suing Mensa for libel, but refrained from doing so because that would only harm the innocent members, costing them dearly, while doing nothing to the officers responsible for this fiasco, as those officers are well shielded from any personal or legal liability. (They spend great amounts of *members’* money on top-shelf insurance to cover *their* behinds.) They make sure *they* have nothing to lose, and that it is instead the members who must take the hit for their, those officers’, malfeasance. (Indeed, as you will see, they have shown that they will gladly spend every dime of the members’ money if need be to do so.)

Levine was doing everything possible to keep things “in house” and away from public scrutiny, and so rather than suing American Mensa, he instead appealed to International Mensa, under a provision in the Mensa Constitution that wisely provides a type of redress in the event of an unfair expulsion. This is that provision:

The Mensa Constitution (III - E - 2):

“In the event that a national Mensa expels a member and the International Board of Directors receives substantial evidence that the member was denied a fair hearing prior to the expulsion, the board may offer that member direct international membership.”

No one had ever before utilized this provision. Levine would be the first. In so doing, however, it would eat up his one-year statute of limitations for a libel claim. But he trusted that Mensa's International Board of Directors (IBD) could not possibly be as corrupt as American Mensa, and so would do the right thing. This proved to be a big mistake, as International Mensa is controlled by the might of American Mensa, and as such IBD is now every bit as corrupt as is AML.

Levine laid bare the corruption throughout his expulsion hearing, detailing how that Hearings Committee breached 30 separate rules and mandates. – Thirty! – He attempted to deliver that appeal to each member of that International Board of Directors (IBD). This proved impossible, however, as he was refused the contact information for the members of that International Board. Instead, he was instructed by British IBD officer Chris Leek that he must submit his appeal to the then International Chairman (Willem Bouwens, from Holland) who would then distribute it to the rest of IBD. With no other choice, Levine did as instructed.

Thirty breaches or thirty thousand, it made no difference, as IBD never saw that appeal, because Mr. Bouwens never distributed it to the other IBD members. Instead, he kept them in the dark. He cleverly made it *appear* that he distributed it, yet he never did. Indeed, it turns out most of those on IBD never even knew about that Levine appeal. Nevertheless, the official corporate record of that annual IBD meeting in New Zealand that year (2010) states:

Minutes of Mensa's International Board of Directors meeting, Auckland, NZ. Oct. 2010

The following section contains the motions discussed in camera

(10-31)

35. MOVED THAT: The IBD denies the request of Barry Levine, a former member of American Mensa, to become a Direct International Member under Section III.E.2 of the Constitution of Mensa.

EXPLANATION:

As far as anyone can tell, this is the first request the IBD has ever received to become a Direct International Member following expulsion from a national Mensa.

For: 27

Against: 26

Abstained: 7

Did not vote: 1

Passed

(10-32)

The remainder of the meeting was in open session

That's quite the "explanation," is it not?

And a remarkably close vote, is it not?

And they phrased the motion in the negative. Is that not rather odd?

It turns out that official Mensa record is totally fabricated. What it states, never actually happened.

These are the well-documented facts, none of which have ever been in dispute:

FACT: June 2010 Levine appeals his unjust expulsion to Mensa's International Board of Directors (IBD), as is provided for by the Mensa Constitution (III – E – 2). He details to that governing body 30 different ways he was cheated throughout that AML expulsion hearing. He is the only person in the history of Mensa to ever make such an appeal to IBD.

FACT: Well knowing the Americans on IBD are totally untrustworthy and will do anything to make sure IBD never sees that appeal, Levine tries to send that document directly to each IBD member individually, to make certain they all receive it, but is unable to do so as the then IBD Chairman (Willem Bouwens/The Netherlands) and International Executive Director (Michael Feenan/Brit) refuse to provide IBD's contact information.

FACT: British Mensa IBD bigwig Chris Leek instructs Levine that he instead must submit his appeal only to the then IBD Chairman, Willem Bouwens, who will then forward it to rest of IBD. With no other choice, Levine does as instructed.

FACT: Levine is assured over and over (by Bouwens and Leek and Feenan) that his appeal was forwarded to those on IBD, though he is unable to get any actual confirmation of such.

FACT: Nothing about Levine's appeal is on the agenda for that October 2010 IBD meeting in New Zealand, yet...

FACT: the official 2010 IBD record declares: *** MOVED THAT: The IBD denies the request of Barry Levine, a former member of American Mensa, to become a Direct International Member under Section III.E.2 of the Constitution of Mensa. -- Passed***

FACT: That announcement was inexplicably not made until the end of January 2011, several months after the fact, when all present would have had little remembrance of events.

FACT: Published accounts by multiple officers who were there state that neither Mr. Levine's name, nor his country, nor his case was ever once mentioned the entire meeting.

FACT: Whatever was done (or not done) inexplicably occurred in secret, behind closed doors, with virtually no record of anything. Inquiring members were assured that the voting (if, indeed, there even was a vote on anything) was by secret ballot, those ballots then immediately destroyed.

FACT: After much noise that it appears those on IBD never even received notice of Levine's appeal, British IBD officers Chris Leek, Ann Rootkin, Deborah Theasby, and then British Chairman Chris Tyler all individually publish/assure members that the IBD email archive clearly shows that Levine's appeal was SENT to "The Confidential IBD List" in September 2010 (inexplicably three months after he delivered it to the IBD Chairman in June.)

FACT: Those British officers were asked if they, or anybody else, ever RECEIVED that appeal. None said they did.

FACT: Those thirty or so IBD members were all formally asked by an independent third party if they ever received Levine's appeal. Virtually none said they did.

FACT: The only one who (eagerly) did say she received it, Cinzi Busi, who somehow represents both South Africa and Italy, was asked if she had any contact with Chris Leek immediately prior to her response. She refused all further comment.

FACT: There is no record of who, specifically, authored those official IBD minutes (IBD has no "Secretary"). Chris Leek has been asked numerous times, refuses to respond. American Mensa Chairmen also refuse to respond to that query.

FACT: There is no record of who counted those votes. Chris Leek has been asked numerous times, refuses to respond.

Given these never-disputed facts, these are the only rational conclusions:

- 1) The measure as recorded was never voted on, let alone passed.
- 2) Most of those on IBD never even knew anything about Levine or his case.
- 3) The IBD email archive was doctored to cleverly make it *appear* Levine's appeal was distributed to those on IBD when it was not. (Likely achieved by temporarily removing all the names from "The Confidential IBD List" just prior to sending, so that no one was at the other end.)
- 4) Mensa's International Board of Directors' official corporate record ***was willfully falsified.***
- 5) Numerous of Mensa's highest ranking officers conspired to obstruct justice, willfully kept their cohorts in the dark, and on behalf of the entire membership, made total mockery of due process.

6) Those officers include all 2010 IBD officers from both American Mensa as well as British Mensa. The principle players are the American Mensa Chairmen, the International Mensa Chairmen, and British IBD honcho Chris Leek.

7) The only judicial appeal in Mensa history was thoroughly corrupted by a conspiracy of Mensa's highest ranking officers.

FACT -- the ugliest fact of all:

Mensa justice is corrupt to the core, yet not a single officer in all of Mensa has ever so much as lifted a finger to do anything about it. – Not one. Not ever. – Rather, all have chosen to cover it up.

Thus, every single AMC officer (as well as many IBD officers) is now complicit in obstruction of justice; of making sham of Mensa due process; indeed, of acts severely inimical to Mensa.

Chris Leek on Barry Levine:

Along with Levine's expulsion, he was, of course, banned from all official Mensa forums. The one forum he *is* able to be on, however, is Mpol, an *unofficial* Mensa forum that is perhaps the last bastion of free speech in the Mensa society. It is through Mpol that Levine, and others, learned, bit by bit, that his appeal to IBD never even happened, and that rather it was an elaborate scheme by the Americans and Brits on IBD to make it *appear* that it did. They even managed to lay the blame on the Eastern Europeans, who in reality they had been keeping oblivious.

And indeed, they almost pulled it off. But Levine was persistent, and slowly but surely the house of cards started to fall. As Levine exposed this extensive conspiracy of corruption, Chris Leek, the most powerful officer in British Mensa and a major architect of this malicious scheme, needed to vilify him. In a two year period Mr. Leek published throughout the world the following:

In chronological order:

“How many more lies have you told? I am aware of a number of others that I know myself with absolute certainty...but the above are a few of your more blatant, and more readily proveable, lies.” – “What I don't understand is why you lie.” -- “Regrettably Barry moved from ‘supposition’ to demonstrable lies.” -- “a malicious liar (which Barry seems intent on proving himself to be)” – “the many malicious falsehoods you have been liberally spreading about a whole range of people” -- “Then you started making clearly false statements” -- “spewing out a string of readily demonstrable lies” -- “You have told so many falsehoods that I find it impossible to believe anything you say.” -- “That's a very easily provable lie.” -- “That is another readily provable lie.” – “what I see as malicious lies, something that, regrettably, seems clearly to be becoming Barry’s forte.” -- “Let's look at Barry’s latest falsehood.” – “I highlighted the lie in that.” -- “Barry,...how often do you propose to keep repeating that particular lie?” -- “...even ignoring all your other lies.” -- “Has it become your mantra - 'if I, Barry, repeat my lies often enough, and loud enough, surely someone, somewhere, will eventually believe my lies.’” – “Given your propensity for lying.” -- “Barry, just how stupid do you think the members on this list are that you apparently believe they might believe your lies simply because you keep repeating them regardless of the clear *proof* that they are lies?” -- “We Brits do, by and large, have different standards from yourself. For instance we tend to believe in telling the truth - unlike the constant string of, often repetitive, lies and falsehoods that come from your poisoned, vitriolic, pen.” -- “You, Barry, have come out with a long string of lies and falsehoods” -- “You, Barry, have no hesitation about libeling others without a shred of proof.” -- “You, Barry, are the person who needs to 'put up, or shut up'. Time for you to start backing up some of your many falsehoods.” -- “Yet another (blatant) lie by Barry. L.” -- “Given the number of falsehoods Barry tells, the balance of probability would seem to fall on the side of his response being just another lie.” -- “When it comes to Barry, truth or

falsehood is irrelevant.” – “His regular spouting of falsehoods” -- “it has become clear that it is impossible to believe a word he says.” -- “And so we have yet another lie/falsehood from Barry Levine to add to a long list.” -- “I don't need to ‘prove’ you a purveyor of falsehoods - you regularly do so yourself” – “It is a result of his many falsehoods and libellous statements.” – “People on, for instance, IBD without a detailed knowledge of the truth (or otherwise) of Barry's original case, will have seen his many subsequent falsehoods and - knowing first-hand that those statements were false” – “Even if the individual he is criticising, and/or telling falsehoods about...” – “So faced with someone who they know, for a proven fact, is telling falsehoods...” – “it is a matter of them seeing that person (falsely) malign people they know and trust (such as Willem, or Michael) and repeatedly making claims that they know for an absolute fact are false.” – “Given Barry's apparent propensity for spouting falsehoods...” – “The fact that someone tells falsehoods consistently, and repeatedly” – “someone consistently spout known falsehoods” – “shout a falsehood often enough, and loud enough, and people will believe it...seems to be one of Barry's preferred modes of argument” – “impossible for him to prove his various falsehoods” – “Barry being loud and consistent in spouting his falsehoods” – “and one proven, at least for me, to tell falsehoods” – “an ability to consistently spout falsehoods” – “Barry's comments are statements from an individual who has repeatedly spouted proven falsehoods. In other words, are unsupported statements from someone whose statements have (IMO) been proven to be worthless.” – “What I have highlighted is that his repeated falsehoods...” – “The fact that someone spouts falsehoods does *not* mean that everything they say is false.” – “I don't 'think' Barry has written falsehoods - I 'know' (proven fact) he has written falsehoods; and have called those out explicitly.” – “His subsequently string of (IMO) proven falsehoods” – “it is virtually impossible (IMO) to trust a single word he says” – “sift out any truth hidden amongst his falsehoods” – “Your first sentence is of course another falsehood” – “Through his...repeated falsehoods” – “Tell enough falsehoods and nobody believes anything you say.” -- “His many and repeated falsehoods,” – “The *fact* that Barry regularly, and at

length, repeats the same unsubstantiated falsehoods..." -- "the way you tell falsehoods, and libel people." -- "your malicious postings has visibility of the proven falsehoods you have been spreading (and every member of IBD can readily see the proof that those are falsehoods)" -- "the information you falsely claim was hidden from IBD." -- "the way you have been libelling people" -- "After all, why should they waste any of their valuable time responding about a proven purveyor of falsehoods..." -- "Barry...I would certainly be interested to know what type of mushrooms you are eating" -- "truth, and straightforward facts, appear to be wholly foreign concepts to you" -- "Barry has, in this forum, regularly proven just how false and hence worthless his written word is..." -- "...he is guilty of repeatedly spewing out blatant falsehoods...That he is very clearly guilty of..." -- "Barry needs to learn not to libel people ..." -- "Personally, I consider you a malicious teller of falsehoods." -- "Based on solid past experience they could not trust a word you say, and the only likely response from you - based on past experience - is likely to be further falsehoods, libel and insults." -- "From you I no longer expect anything except Bluster & Falsehoods," -- "You know Barry, initially I thought you were simply lying. I had difficulty believing any member, or ex-member, of Mensa could spout the falsehoods you were throwing about - particularly wrapped up as they have been with your insults and libel with a total absence of any facts to support those falsehoods." -- "Do I *know* that you are spouting falsehoods and libel - yes, absolutely." -- "With all the falsehoods you spout on this list..." -- "Now that I have discovered from bitter experience that it is not possible to believe a word that you say, I must admit that I very much regret wasting so much IBD time on you. Not a mistake I am likely to repeat." -- "Sounds like you are still eating those mushrooms Barry :(" -- "You have consistently told lies and falsehoods about a range of people" -- "I suppose I could sue you for libel" -- "it is impossible to believe a single word you utter. You are not worth the bother of suing. You may be delusional" -- "or it really is the mushrooms that are giving rise to your fantasies" -- "He proved that he was a teller of falsehoods" -- "IBD members are likely to remember is that when they last considered such a case, which was based primarily on Barry's

word, they wasted many hours on someone who proved himself to be a teller of falsehoods :(“ -- “It's the fact that he's told a bunch of falsehoods about things that the IBD members know from first hand personal experience are falsehoods.” – “he only lies about the facts they know about” – “I cannot trust or believe a single word he says” – “some other side of Barry (one not so prone to lies and/or fantasy)” – “Given Barry proved that he was a teller of falsehoods” – “my views on Barry Levine based firmly on the repeated falsehoods he has uttered” -- “I have – clearly, and repeatedly, highlighted the falsehoods you have been telling. I have explained why they are falsehoods. If you can't track down copies of the falsehoods you have repeated so often over the past few years, and the responses that were sent to you in reply highlighting what those falsehoods were, then I am afraid that is your problem.” – “I could not trust a word he said” – “either he believes the (false) insults he has been spouting (in which case he is a teller of falsehoods) or he doesn't (in which case he is a liar)” – “Seems to be what you do best Barry – telling falsehoods. Have you found it helps you in life? Try sticking to the truth for once – you may find it a refreshing change.” – “Back on the mushrooms Barry?” – “libelling them, and spreading falsehoods” – “The trouble is, that Barry's case rests largely on his word. Since he has repeatedly proven word his word to be worthless, that pretty much kills his case.” – “In other words, through his constant falsehoods Barry has caused substantial harm to any future Mensa members who may have a case - because what will be remembered is how the guy in the last case demonstrated himself to be a sewer full of falsehoods :(“ – “Once Barry had proven pretty much conclusively that his missive wasn't worth the electrons it consisted of, that pretty much killed his particular application (though even then it was very close).” – “for someone to revisit Barry's case would involve a majority agreeing that having wasted many hours considering a case from a proven purveyor of readily demonstrable falsehoods, they should waste many more hours reconsidering a case involving that same sewer of insults & falsehoods is just not a realistic expectation.” – “Take a long hard look in a mirror and ask yourself why any society would want someone who tells as many,

apparently malicious, falsehoods as yourself as a member? If I were Barry Levine I certainly wouldn't want to join any club that would want a Barry Levine as a member. But then, I suppose you get so much practice telling falsehoods to others that you can even manage to delude yourself? :(“ – “his on-line personality gives me the impression of a rabid rat - a dysfunctional personality that would be truly destructive in any sort of group.” – “Barry has hammered home (as far as I am concerned) just how worthless his stated word is. Certainly nothing there to support any 're-do' of IBDs deliberations.” – “He makes a lot of non-constructive noise but achieves (IMO) nothing other than that noise. So, no, I don't see that he functions well.” – “with Barry shooting himself in the foot by proving to IBD that his word was worthless (with e.g. his accusations against MIL Chairman and MIL Chief Executive that everyone on IBD could see were clearly false” – “proving himself that word to be untrustworthy” – “In terms of 'justice' his request was properly considered, which is what he deserved. Since then Barry has provided nothing new except substantial further proof of how little reliance can be placed on his stated word.” – “As far as I recall, Barry, you aren't actually a member. So, frankly, I don't see the composition of the IBD ExComm as being any of your business” – “I suggest you find a member who you haven't libelled, or told vicious falsehoods about” – “You are equally clearly bent on carrying on spewing out unsupported falsehoods until hell freezes over.” – “If I were able to believe a word you say I might have some sympathy for your current position” – “I simply pity you for the dark, deluded, mental world you have wrapped yourself up in.” – “the approach he has taken with his demonstrable falsehoods” – “There is probably an element of frustration in having somebody, who I tried to help, libel me and call me, in effect, 'lying scum', when one of my own personal values is a strong personal integrity. There is probably a greater element of anger at seeing Barry insult and libel people I know well” – “Barry throws out so many falsehoods on a regular basis (I would call them blatant lies except for the remote possibility that Barry has actually managed to delude himself into believing his own falsehoods).” – “his statements (that, for instance, his appeal was not circulated to them)

are false, and given the many people he has libelled, I think they would find it extremely difficult (if not impossible) to believe anything he says.” – “his statements cannot be trusted as anything other than fantasy” – “all he has done is made it much harder for anybody expelled in future to have their case reviewed. That is a great pity.”

Regards,

Chris

And that, ladies and gentlemen, is Chris Leek, the long-time most powerful officer in British Mensa – indeed, the cradle of Mensa.

And Mr. Leek, just like American Mensa, has never, and can never, substantiate a single thing Mr. Levine has ever been less than truthful about.



Chris Leek

Second IBD Appeal

Since there is no one-and-done aspect on that IBD appeal provision (another IBD might well reach a different conclusion), and since the “supposed” vote was 27-26 last time, in 2014 Levine simply resubmitted his appeal to the then current IBD.

First he once again requested the contact information for those members of IBD so this time he could send it to them directly. He got this response:

From: Elissa Rudolph
Sent: Thursday, May 15, 2014 5:23 PM
To: Barry Levine
Subject: RE: contact information for IBD - second request

Mr. Levine,

At the direction of Mensa International's Executive Committee of which I am chair, Michael Feenan wrote to you on 16 April 2014 to say that this matter was heard, voted upon, and closed in 2010. The matter will not be re-opened, nor will I share contact information of the IBD members with you.

Sincerely,

Elissa Rudolph, Chair
Mensa International



Elissa Rudolph

Thus, these highest-ranking Mensa officers make certain IBD never sees Mr. Levine's appeal in the first place, falsely report that it was denied, then use that blatant lie to deny any further appeal. -- See how nicely that works?

Thus, amazingly, this malicious attack on an innocent member and its subsequent cover up, this gross obstruction of justice, this mockery of due process, could not have been accomplished without the willful aiding and abetting by what is now

the last eight Chairmen of American Mensa, as well as the last six Chairmen of International Mensa.

The corrupt past eight American Mensa Chairmen

LARAE BAKERINK is the current American Mensa Chairman. She has been asked multiple times to please clean up the corruption throughout Mensa's due process. She refuses to respond. Indeed, she has been a willing participant in this extensive obstruction of justice and it has served her well. As such, Chairman Bakerink has her own separate section on this website.

DEB STONE, AML Chair from 2015 till July 2017, did nothing to remedy the corruption throughout American Mensa. Mr. Levine attempted yet again to have American Mensa right its wrongs via Chairman Stone. He assured her that this very website would cease to exist and that he would speak not another word of any of this, just as long as AML makes a good faith effort to rectify its corrupt due process, such that Mensa justice has some semblance of being credible, and be available to *all* members, as opposed to it being just a hammer for the powers-that-be, as it is now. Because if that is done, then the members can hold their "leaders" accountable, as obviously they are supposed to have the right to do, which would go a long way toward remedying virtually all of American Mensa's woes. – Chairman Stone simple failed to respond.

DAN BURG not only cheated Levine, but has very much cheated Mensa's International Board of Directors. Mr. Burg, a long-time major player on AMC, was well-informed that both those Mensa hearings against Levine were thoroughly corrupt kangaroo courts that have not and cannot support their findings. To help out his friend and mentor, Mr. Remine, Dan Burg flagrantly lied to the membership at the 2006 Annual Business Meeting, assuring the membership that Mr. Remine's Hearings Committee had signed Levine's conviction when he well

knew they had not. When Levine appealed his expulsion to IBD, in 2010, Mr. Burg conspired in an extensive cover-up, to make certain the other members of IBD did not even know about that appeal, to keep them in the dark in an elegant scheme to con them into ruling against not only Levine, but against due process itself. Mr. Burg, a lawyer no less, conspired with others to lie to IBD by omission, to lie to the members directly, to cover up extensive malfeasance and to deny Levine his most basic right of due process. As reward, Mr. Burg was the Chairman of American Mensa. Dan Burg has done both Mr. Levine, and the integrity of Mensa, great harm.



Dan Burg

ELISSA RUDOLPH, the AML Chairman prior to Dan Burg, has done Mensa great harm. Ms. Rudolph has been central in this travesty from the start. She was on that AMC that concurred with that verdict even after being made well aware it was baseless, then, on the appeal to IBD she was a major player in deceiving the rest of those on IBD by keeping them in the dark. Indeed, as reward she was a two-term Chairman of American Mensa, then was the Chairman of all of International Mensa, obtaining that pinnacle position totally unopposed, unheard of in modern Mensa history for a non-incumbent.

RUSS BAKKE, the AML Chairman before Ms. Rudolph, was the AML Chairman during this fiasco and was in concert with Mr. Remine throughout this whole sordid affair. Indeed, he would never have been AML Chairman had he not been. After his first hearing, in 2005, Levine detailed to every member of AMC how that hearing was systemically corrupt and asked that they thus intervene. Mr. Bakke informed him there was insufficient “groundswell” on AMC to do anything about

it. Then, after his 2008 expulsion hearing, to which AMC needed to “concur,” Levine detailed to AMC the extensive corruption throughout that hearing, and how that Hearings Committee would not, and could not, in any manner support its findings. It made no difference, as Russ Bakke, on behalf of Mensa, signed his name on the official document declaring that AMC concurs with the Hearings Committee (that Levine committed “calumny,” and thus is a malicious liar.) Yet Mr. Bakke well knows that neither he, nor anyone else in Mensa, can in any manner support that libelous, official Mensa dictate.

JEAN BECKER, the Chairman of AML prior to Mr. Bakke, is perhaps the only person in Mensa as corrupt as Mr. Remine, if that is possible. Jean Becker (who insists on being addressed as “Doctor”) had been in concert with Dave Remine in this from the start. Jean Becker was AML Chairman in 2005 when all this started, and who, for political expediency, ordered the then Ombudsman, Eldon Romney, to string Levine along then welch on his agreement. Becker then set Mr. Remine, Mensa’s attack dog, on Levine. She then was the one who shepherded Mr. Remine’s “calumny” law through AMC for ratification. When, as is documented in those AMC minutes, those on AMC didn’t understand the meaning of that strange word, she assured them that “*We must trust the judgment of the Hearings Committee.*” That law thus passed without a single dissenting vote. Soon thereafter she was illegally appointed by Dave Remine to head that Hearings Committee and hear his charges against Mr. Levine, where she (along with Jim Werdell and Marc Lederman) proclaimed that in American Mensa falsehood was “not necessary” for a charge of “calumny.” She further sat at that hearing and denied even knowing about Levine’s charges against both herself and Mr. Remine, while holding a copy of the very document she sent to Mr. Levine throwing out those charges. Jean Becker convicted Levine of Mr. Remine’s charges and ordered that he be expelled from Mensa.

Jean Becker signed her name, on behalf of Mensa, declaring that Mr. Levine committed “calumny,” thereby formally proclaiming to all the world that he is a malicious liar. Yet she cannot in any manner support that official dictate. Jean

Becker has done both Mr. Levine and Mensa itself great harm. She has made mockery of Mensa “due process.”

BOB BEATTY, the Chairman of American Mensa prior to Jean Becker, was on that 2005 AML Hearings Committee that was (illegally) chaired by Dave Remine. On behalf of Mensa, Mr. Beatty found Mr. Levine guilty of that which he neither has nor can in any manner support.

DAVE REMINE, the AML Chairman prior to Mr. Beatty, was the instigator of all this and as detailed above is far and away the most corrupt officer in the history of Mensa. As a most powerful and intimidating man, his massive arrogance created this travesty, then to cover it up he sucked all the others into his “black hole” of corruption.

The corrupt past five IBD Chairman

ELISSA RUDOLPH, the past IBD Chairman, not only cheated Levine, but has very much cheated IBD as well. Ms. Rudolph was a high-ranking member of the AMC (American Mensa Committee) that in 2008 concurred with the AML Hearings Committee and expelled Levine from American Mensa for “committing calumny against Dave Remine,” despite her being well-informed that that Mensa hearing was thoroughly corrupt and that the Hearings Committee members have not and cannot support their findings. Then, when Levine appealed that expulsion to IBD in 2010, Ms. Rudolph conspired in an extensive cover-up, to make certain that the other members of IBD did not even know about that appeal, in an elegant scheme to con them into ruling against not only Mr. Levine, but against due process itself. Ms. Rudolph, who was then a member of IBD’s exclusive Executive Board, conspired with others to cover up extensive malfeasance by keeping IBD in the dark, indeed by grossly misinforming them. When in 2014 Levine appealed to IBD a second time, as is his right under the Mensa Constitution, Ms. Rudolph, now the Chairman of all of IBD, without cause, summarily ignored it.

WILLEM BOUWENS, the previous IBD Chairman, cheated not only Levine, but also cheated the rest of IBD, as is detailed above. He conspired to keep the other members of IBD in the dark about Levine's appeal, to cover up extensive Mensa malfeasance. Willem Bouwens, lying directly as well as by omission, led a Mensa conspiracy to betray the most fundamental tenets of due process and to deny Mr. Levine his most basic right of fair and impartial justice. Willem Bouwens has done the integrity of Mensa great harm.

JIM WERDELL, the IBD Chairman before Mr. Bouwens, is yet another cheat. In 2008, while he was the seated Chairman of IBD, and thus with enormous conflict of interest, Mr. Werdell was a judge on the AML Hearings Committee against Levine where he "redefined" the word calumny and then convicted Levine of it. In so doing Jim Werdell signed his name, on behalf of Mensa, officially declaring that Mr. Levine is, by definition, a malicious liar. Yet Mr. Werdell has not, and cannot, in any manner support that claim. Furthermore, Jim Werdell threw out Mr. Levine's own extensive charges against both his accuser Dave Remine and his head judge Jean Becker and then, in that Mensa court, denied even knowing about those charges.

CHRIS LEEK, the IBD Chairman before Mr. Werdell, is an extremely charming fellow – and after Remine, perhaps Mensa's most cunning and duplicitous cheat. Remine at least comes straight at you. Mr. Leek, on the other hand, gives a big toothy grin while he stabs you in the back.

Mr. Leek not only cheated Levine, but also very cleverly cheated all of IBD. Mr. Leek was a principle architect of this conspiracy to keep his fellow IBD members in the dark and dupe them into doing AML's dirty work. In 2010, when Levine appealed to IBD, Mr. Leek conspired to keep the other members of IBD oblivious about that appeal, in an elaborate cover-up of extensive Mensa malfeasance.

Mr. Leek is particularly sinister, as it was he who befriended Mr. Levine, and assured him that he was his biggest ally on IBD, and instructed him to submit his IBD appeal only to then Chairman Willem Bouwens, who would then distribute it

to the rest of IBD. Mr. Leek (inexplicably appointed by the British Chairman as “permanent proxy” to the exclusive IBD Executive Committee, the highest and mightiest body of Mensa) then conspired with others (Bouwens, the Americans and the other Brits) to make certain the rest of those on IBD never even knew about that appeal. Chris Leek was a central player in this Mensa conspiracy to deny from Levine his most basic right to due process. Mr. Leek then took it upon himself to be Mensa’s only official spokesman on the matter, assuring members throughout the world that: (a) everyone on IBD was well informed of Levine’s appeal (when in fact most of them knew nothing about it), and (b) that he and his British cohorts were Levine’s strongest supporters on IBD and doing everything possible to help, while it was those other members of IBD who were against him (when it was just the opposite, as he and those Brits were doing everything to keep those others on IBD in the dark and thus oblivious). Then, when caught red-handed in his cunning scheme, (c) Mr. Leek, on behalf of Mensa, extensively libels Mr. Levine on that world stage, declaring him a liar over and over again. Chris Leek has done both Mr. Levine, and the integrity of Mensa, great harm.

DAVE REMINE, the IBD Chairman prior to Mr. Leek, is not known as “The Godfather of Mensa” for nothing. Instigator of this entire mess and the cause of all that followed, Mr. Remine managed to suck in all the others into his black hole of corruption. As many members (and ex-members) know all too well, Mr. Remine is by far the most vindictive officer in the history of Mensa. A most powerful and intimidating man, Mr. Remine is well known for being Mensa’s resident bully, who boasts of his lack of formal education, and how he has learned all he needs to know “*on the streets of New Jersey,*” and how he crushes those who dare go against him. So pompous is Mr. Remine that he actually published his infamous and extensive “Enemies List” throughout the world. Mr. Levine earned a spot in the “top tier” of that list, as one Remine declares “*should be expelled from Mensa*” and that he, Remine, “*will soon get his wish.*” – A former AMC member, TJ Lundeen, who Remine was pressuring for support, quotes him thus: “*I pay back my friends three fold, and pay back my enemies six fold.*” When you’re a hammer, like Dave Remine, the whole world looks like a nail.

Remine is a “fixer” who, for political expedience, and to make points with his cronies, boasted he would “*put Levine in his place.*” And as detailed here, he did just that.

Dave Remine has a favorite quote, from Margot Seitelman, Mensa’s first Executive Director, that he repeats at every opportunity: “*If Mensa is ever destroyed, it will be from within, not from the outside.*” Remine took that to heart, has spent his entire Mensa career tracking down those oh-so-damaging members. When all he ever had to do was just look in a mirror.

Mr. Remine is also noted as a quite the poker player, who rarely loses. Indeed, he here bet the farm, the integrity of the entire Mensa society, on a bluff, backing it up with nothing but bluster and intimidation. And when he lost, he, and Mensa, refuse to pay their debts.

Other Mensa officers complicit in this matter:

CHRIS TYLER, ANN ROOTKIN, DEBORAH THEASBY, all major British officers who conspired in this cover-up and in duping the IBD.

MICHAEL FEENAN, the Executive Director of International Mensa and another Brit, has been a major party in this conspiracy and cover up, central in keeping the rest of IBD in the dark.

PAM DONAHOO, who recently resigned as the long-time Executive Director of American Mensa, was instrumental in this sham from the start. Ms. Donahoo was hired by and was beholden to Dave Remine. She arranged for Remine to illegally head up that 2005 hearing against Levine in the first place. She lied to the membership at the 2006 Annual Business Meeting when she informed them that that conviction against Levine had been signed by those Hearings Committee members when she well knew it had not. Ms. Donahoo has destroyed evidence, destroying the recordings of those critical Annual Business Meetings. Indeed, Pam Donahoo owes her long, fruitful career in Mensa to Dave Remine.

MARC LEDERMAN was the third “judge” in Levine’s expulsion hearing. He signed his name to that conviction, proclaiming on behalf of Mensa that Levine was a malicious liar when he well knows Mr. Levine was dishonest about nothing. Mr. Lederman also, on behalf of his friend Dave Remine, falsified the minutes of the 2006 American Mensa Annual Business Meeting. Mr. Lederman was well rewarded for his complicity, had long been appointed to high-ranking positions in American Mensa.

Other unjustly expelled Mensa members

And that is but one member’s case. There are numerous others:

Alan Truelove was another thorn in the side of the Powers That Be, so he was tried and convicted and expelled from American Mensa. Dave Remine was a central character in that one as well.

From Mensa newsletters: “Truelove was suspended on the basis of an accusation not to be found anywhere in his indictment, which was demonstrably untrue anyway.” – “The AMC admitted there was no intention to allow the accused to confront their anonymous accusers, and there were no minutes nor transcript made. In fact, there is no tangible evidence any kind of hearing was ever held, in Washington or anywhere else. AMC then admitted in court that Truelove was suspended on the basis of an accusation never revealed to him, that the accusation wasn't even true, and that the procedures used violated AMC's rules and its lawyer's instructions.”

Carole Bell, another on Dave Remine’s Enemies list, is another who crossed swords with and thus was expelled from American Mensa at the hands of Mr. Remine. Seems Ms. Bell and Mr. Remine had a relationship, that went sour, and he needed her gone. So, with trumped up charges, he and his cronies got her expelled from American Mensa. Interestingly, Carole moved to England, joined British Mensa, where she is a well-regarded member to this day. In response, Remine got a new International law enacted that prohibits a member expelled

from one national Mensa from ever joining any other Mensa on the entire planet. Because Dave Remine is just that kind of a guy.

Judy Dosse, another on Dave Remine's Enemies List, was that rarity, a national officer with scruples, who questioned dubious dealings, and for this was subjected to trumped up charges and a kangaroo court. Hammered by Remine and his cronies at her hearing, and facing expulsion, she chose instead to sign her rights away. Thus, though not expelled, Ms. Dosse is now the only member in all of American Mensa who is *not* in "good standing."

Al Heigl, from Minnesota, had a hearing conducted by an outside arbitration agency AML was momentarily using instead of an internal Mensa court. It didn't come back with the results The Powers That Be desired so new charges were drummed up, Mr. Heigl was retried in a Mensa court, under the aegis of Dave Remine, and, of course, he was convicted and expelled. Remine's crony, Guy Conti, the then recently appointed "Advocate," brought the charges against Mr. Heigl.

Another member faced a hearing by the American Arbitration Association, which AML used a single time when there was a lot of heat about the dubious internal courts. That AAA verdict did not come down as desired, so they were dumped, that member was retried on new charges, this time in a Mensa court, and, of course, he was expelled. – Double jeopardy protection is not a strong concept in American Mensa.

Steven Brewster is the most recently expelled member of American Mensa, in 2017, for failing to exhaust all internal avenues of redress prior to suing Mensa. Mr. Brewster, a paid-up lifetime member, a few years prior had moved a short

distance from one town to another in Kentucky, and continued to engage with the same group that he always had, where he was the gifted children's coordinator. Years after he moved, and unbeknownst to him, National designated him to a different local group and he was stripped of his position where he had been for many years. When objecting, he attempted to go through proper channels for resolution but was stymied at every turn, ultimately with no responses. Thus left with no option but to bring suit, he was tried and convicted for doing so, in absentia, and expelled. -- While it is understandable that suing Mensa prior to exhausting all internal avenues of redress should be an expulsionable offense, that presupposes that those internal avenues of redress are made clear and are indeed available. Neither is true. In fact, American Mensa makes it all but impossible to engage such internal redress, and often simply ignores attempts to do so, leaving the abused member no other choice but to either drop their complaint or to sue, knowing that if they do, they will then be expelled for doing such. Which is precisely what happened to Mr. Brewster.

The Advocate

With much controversy concerning dubious Mensa due process, American Mensa created a new appointed national position called the "Advocate," intended to be a sort of "in the know" representative for the common member against abuse by the Powers That Be. That first Advocate, established around 2004-2005 was the late Ted Enzenga, a most noble man who did exactly that. In return he was canned without notice and replaced with a new Advocate, Guy Conti, a freshly minted lawyer and protégé of Dave Remine who, far from being an advocate of the little guy against the powerful, instead became a sort of prosecutor *for* those Powers That Be *against* the common member, thus totally usurping the entire intent of that position for their own purposes.

Mr. Conti was most compliant, immediately bringing dubious charges against those deemed to be "problem members." Rank-and-file members asking Mr.

Conti for help with charges against leadership were ignored. Rather, Mr. Conti was the hammer for those in power. So sleazy was this arrangement that American Mensa no longer has an Advocate.

Lawyers on AMC

One might think that members of the bar on AMC would be conscientious that Mensa avoid dubious activities, particularly in the arena of due process. That too proves to be false. In fact it seems to be just the opposite.

Former Chairman Dan Burg, an Oregon attorney, is a central character in this scam, Remine's right-hand man, who got where he got only because he aided and abetted Mr. Remine every step of the way.

Former RVC Roger Durham, another attorney, was, with much controversy, appointed to his RVC position by AMC when an opening needed filled. He was a yes-man in that capacity.

RVC Desiree Sagray, a Los Angeles lawyer, campaigned hard on the promise of honor and integrity. Immediately after her election she, too, became a team-player. Ms. Sagray was directly asked, numerous times, to please do something about Mensa's extensively corrupt due process. She has ignored all requests, jumped on the bandwagon and become a part of that corrupt due process.

Indeed, officers of Mensa use the Mensa courts as their own personal firing squad. Which is a convenient way to get rid of your critics.

And that is the way "due process" works in American Mensa.

Members' published comments

Here are just a handful of the literally thousands of comments published on Mpol on this matter. Mpol is an *unofficial* Mensa forum, unlike all the official forums in that it is *not* censored for unflattering content, and thus is the only real outlet for legitimate criticism.

On American Mensa quashing free speech by fear and intimidation:

--- TJJLundeen@... wrote:

When a member comes along and protests or asks about the conflict of interest and potential corruption in the process itself, s/he is sidetracked by comments about the 'crimes' of the latest person to go before the HC. Thus, there is no separation between philosophy and personality, and the wheel keeps turning.

There is the additional dissuasion of being put on the 'problem member list' one's self if one keeps writing about the need for reform. Cowardice? Maybe. Probably. But, if one is passionate about Mensa, if one does not like his/her name muddied through the grapevine, one can be paralyzed/silenced easily by the threat of being on that naughty list.

I do think that those in the rank-and-file of AML don't realize the extent of Big Brotherism that prevails here, and I do want to make the point that there have been protests, attempts to change what is intrusive to member rights or oppressive to the same.

Am I bitter? A bit, yes, but mainly I'm tired and disappointed.

--TJ

--- TJJLundeen@... wrote:

>

> Gilmer, you're not a member, so you get to say whatever you want without any formal repercussions.

>

> --TJ

Ms. Lundeen is a former AMC member and is yet another on Dave Remine's "Enemies List."

Re: Acts Inimical —

Tue Jan 7, 2014 3:42 pm (PST) . Posted by: Switch1947

The main way to get expelled is to make Dave Remine mad at you.

Re: Acts Inimical —

Tue Jan 7, 2014 7:56 am (PST) . Posted by: Lee Berkovits

Bingo! Just look at who has been tossed out of Mensa. And when the Chairman of the Hearings Committee is the one bringing the charges.....

Not to mention running the committee in direct violation of the applicable rules. Rules which he helped put into place.... Yes, that is Dave Remine I'm referring to.

Re: Acts Inimical —

Mon Jun 16, 2014 7:37 am (PDT) . Posted by: Lee Berkovits

The arbitration went against the pre-determined conviction that Dave Remine wanted. So of course he ignored it, and held a hearing to get the conviction that he had already decided was the answer.

As with so many of the hearings AML has held, the results were pre-determined and the hearing itself was a sham.

Re: Acts Inimical —

Fri Jun 20, 2014 8:16 am (PDT) . Posted by: Lee Berkovits

Just look at the Hearings held over the years. Not one over a case of harassment, etc. Every single one (with one possible exception) was about silencing dissent against the people in charge. Especially against Dave Remine.

Re: MERF —

Tue Jan 7, 2014 7:56 am (PST) . Posted by: Lee Berkovits

Dave Remine has proved himself over the years to be a bullying thug who rewrites/changes/breaks rules whenever it suites his purpose.

I wouldn't trust him at all, based on what I know now.

Mr. Berkovits ran for Chairman of American Mensa against Elissa Rudolph.
The Powers That Be made certain he lost.